

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/996,276	11/27/2001	David B. Donahue	10547-0020-999 2346		
20991 7590 12/18/2006 THE DIRECTV GROUP INC				INER	
PATENT DOCKET ADMINISTRATION RE/R11/A109 P O BOX 956 EL SEGUNDO, CA 90245-0956			HAMZA, FARUK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EL SECOND	, CA 70243-0730	•	2155		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		12/18/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/996,276	DONAHUE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Faruk Hamza	2155

·	Faruk Hamza	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO` w);	TE below);				
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a definition of the content of the			he issues for			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non Co	mnliant Amandment (DTOL 324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilani Amendineni (1 101-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: 1-22 and 25-27.	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 23 and 24.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		o condition for allowar	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EX	KAMINER				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In the remarks applicant argues in substance that; A) Beser does not teach request contains unique device identifier. B) Beser does not teach receiving configuration based on unique device identifier.

In response to A) The examiner maintains that the unique device identifier, as stated in the applicant's specification, is the MAC address contained in chaddr-field (see Fig. 6, 132, column 17, lines 5-19). Furthermore, it is widely known in the art that a MAC address is a unique device identifier. Therefore Beser still meets the scope of the limitations as currently claimed.

In response to B) Beser does teach receiving configuration based on unique device identifier. More specifically, Beser teaches sending basic configuration details (see column 17, lines 5-40). The configuration details comprise an IP address (Fig. 6, 124,126). Also, the configuration details include the MAC address which is the unique device identifier (Fig. 6, 132). Thus the configuration details are based in part on the unique device identifier. Therefore Beser still meets the scope of the limitations as currently claimed.